

- -2- SECTO 34, May 5, 3 A.M. from Athens (SECTION ONE OF TWO)
- 2) As Schroeder had likewise said to Secretary, he felt it important that we: talk to Soviets regarding possibility of improving conditions in East Bermany. He would not say how this could be introduced into paper itself; but German Government was anxious that this point be stressed and left to US discretion how best to make it.
- 3) As to points not specifically covered in paper, Germans had received explanation from Dowling as to why they had been omitted and this was now better understood. Omissions, nevertheless, created internal problems in Federal Republic. It was not necessary to decide now how this matter should be handled but Federal Government hoped that we would give thought to problem and consider means of best assuring Cermans at appropriate time.

On second point; Kohler agreed it was impossible use relevant language in "principles paper". He noted, however, that we have already made a number of statements to Soviets that, in fact, a basic problem is weakness and unacceptability to population of East German Regime. Since we know Federal Republic is especially interested, we will keep point particularly in mind.

Kohler noted that we had instructed Ambassador Dowling to explain rationale of US approach to German authorities, since there had seemed to be continuing misconceptions on this. If we ever get to point of agreement on paper with Scriets, which is not likely, then people will inevitably ask about failure to cover such matters as presence of troops, ties between Federal Republic and West Berlin, et cetera, and we will have to say something about our position on these matters. In context of "principles paper", however, we are not trying to negotiate on points which are non-negotiable to both sides.

Referring to the specific points of language which Schreeder had mentioned, Carstens noted that Chancellor himself had been first to raise fear that committee of Foreign Minister's deputies seemed to be moving toward tripartite Western directorate /of kind

SECRET

SECRET

-3- SECTO 34, May 5, 3 A.M. from Athens (SECTION ONE OF TWO)

of kind to which he was strongly opposed. This was true particularly in connection with functions of committee under Section 4 of "principles paper" dealing with nonaggression. Germans, therefore, suggested that simplest way to handle problem would be to add following language "if and when matters are to be discussed in which they have direct responsibility" at end of second paragraph of preamble.

RUSK

TISA

## · Department of State ermanent record con

L

SECRET

3619 Control:

Action

May 5, 1962 Rec'd:

SS

FROM: Athens

PRIORITY

5:13 a.m.

Info

TO: Secretary of State

SECTO 34, May 5, 3 A.M. (SECTION TWO OF TWO) NO:

EXDIS REVIEW

Cht A) Caption removed transferred to O TADAY. Cat. B - Transferred to O/FADEC

ACTION DEPARTMENT SECTO 34, INFORMATION BENNOT24 access

EYES ONLY FOR PRESIDENT AND ACTING SEGRETARY S/S

EYES ONLY AMBASSADOR.

Reviewed by: \_Elijab Keil Date: 4-9-91

Mr. Kohler said he first wanted to say that Chancellor could be assured that his feeling on subject of tripartite directorate was no stronger than ours. We have had unremitting pressure on this subject since French memorandum of 1958 which preceded Khrushchev November ultimatum by some months. We have been suffering from both ultimata since. Carstens remarked that it was equally difficult to change mind of either Khrushchev or De Gaulle.

Carstens said his government had noted absence of any reference in paper giving all-German responsibilities to committee of Foreign Minister's deputies. Mr. Kohler commented that this was generally implied under the language of preamble. Carstens said wished to give specific function in this connection to committee and suggested following new language for Section 2(B) of revised "principles paper":

"Future negotiations: they agree that the committee of Foreign Minister's deputies should consider all adequate steps to make progress toward replizing this right. It might also consider the nossibility of establishing wixed technical commissions, consisting of officials designated by the /authorities

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS . This copy must be returned to BEE Theretal files with no PROMETED WHESS LEVER ASSIFIED ACTION

DATE OF DIRECTIONS TO RM/R B OFFICE SYMBOL ACTION

## SECKET

-2- SECTO 34, May 5, 3 A.M. from Athens (SECTION TWO OF TWO)

authorities in West and East Germany, for such matters as: to promote mutually beneficial economic exchanges and to remove existing restrictions concerning the free movement of persons in Germany, including those imposed on cultural and technical contacts".

After noting that revised 2(B) also reflected German feeling that, on balance, it would be preferable to eliminate third technical commission entirely, Carstens suggested that Section 4(C) be amended to read as follows: "In the meantime they declare they will not themselves use or support the use of force to change the external and internal borders or demarcation lines of Germany, including those of West Berlin, and they note with approval declarations by German authorities in the same sense." Carstens also said would wish to eliminate "and prerogatives" from Section 5(A) of paper.

As NATO Ministerial Session beginning, meeting terminated at this point without time for additional comment. However, Carstens and Kohler agreed to talk again on subject as opportunity arose during course of NATO meetings.

RUSK

T.SA

